

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/601,160	STEGENS, ALFRED H.
	Examiner Theresa T. Snider	Art Unit 1744

All Participants:

(1) Theresa T. Snider.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Lowell Heinke.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 6-13, 15-16 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner informed Mr. Heinke of allowability of claims 1, 6-13, 15-16 and 18; Mr. Heinke requested minor specification changes, which were agreed upon by the Examiner and would be done by an Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/601,160	STEGENS, ALFRED H.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Theresa T. Snider	1744

All Participants:

(1) Theresa T. Snider.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Lowell Heinke.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 6-13, 15-16 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner informed Mr. Heinke of allowability of claims 1, 6-13, 15-16 and 18; Mr. Heinke requested minor specification changes, which were agreed upon by the Examiner and would be done by an Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)